

When all works (such as to name a few aero-planes, groceries and waste management etc.) are performed by companies with scientific mindsets, the innovation will be on the forefronts, including each day is better than the previous day! The lawmakers' duties are to keep companies on track and system functional for all!

Only a select group/team of people are innovative. All valuable inputs are essential for innovation. You can expect INNOVATION multiplied by companies.

You must know, some are more innovative than others. A system that knows on a regular basis, one system is not suitable to solve/handle all modern/complex problems, for some capitalism and for others it may be socialistic approach or a form of archaic autocracy. The key factor is knowing the difference. Do not wait thousands of years to change. Whatever, may be the logic/method selected to handle small or gigantic problems, the two third majority rule must be incorporated no matter what methods has been employed to solve the problem For example, there will be four options (a) Autocratic (dictatorial method), (b) Capitalism , (c) Socialism or (d) An innovative combination of any two or 3 or more methods/logics, let's call it Hybrid method, or Chaman's method, the impact or effectiveness will be a minimum of $\sqrt{2}$ or it will be $\sqrt{3}$ for method that consists/comprises combination of two or three logics respectively, that can also avoid chaos or disorders of gigantic/colossal proportions. In each case there will be a team(s) of selected specialists under each leader styles out of any four options, given earlier herein this point, that are selected to resolve must be authenticated via two thirds or more strict majority at all levels, global, regional or local levels. The two third majority authenticated solutions ought to be godly or democratic in nature as compared to devilish. Or 4 out of 5, or 8 out of 10 must agree at global level, at regional level 80% and at local level 2/3 majorities etc. or customize as suits the problem on hand, simply stated 90 per cent at global level, 80 at regional, and 2/3 majority at local level.

The ability to be better every day from the previous day is also a key factor or feature of innovation.

If goal is to get innovative solutions every day, then it will be achieved. The efforts must be rewarded on a regular basis, NOT once in life time!

Checks and balance is a must. The extremes cause stress and reduce performance and efficiencies.

The mentality match and character are key factors for success. The systems that do NOT reward the genuine are corrupt.

Instead of reinventing the wheels or words, learn from other civilizations. The dynamic learning capabilities, prevent repeat of history.

The horse trading is a form of corruption. More than three political parties is a divide and rule type system. In absolute terms, there are practical or real three options (1) Conservatives (stubborn), (2) Progressive (real humans), (3) Independents, etc. (4) Not necessary or nobody cares, or wastage of resources

The perfect example of language that is Most widely used universally or generally. It does / may have some shortcomings, e.g., not using all *Humanity* sounds phonetics.

Unity is more important than fragmentations.

Unwise stubbornness/persistence is Averse Progressive thinking.

Innovation and Progress do not exclude dress codes. Do not comingle business and religious attires!

Anti innovation and Anti progressive improvements are forms of injustices.

Universalization is a form of democratization of innovativeness and its utilizations in universal practical forms can be called godly practices.

When humans can't figure out the difference between dictatorial, universal democratic and universal socialistic systems, conflicts of gigantic proportions are bound to go on.

Can you imagine if another select list of countries who can compete with NATO organization to provide a competitive contract for example X% percentage of their collective or respective GDPs. That will reduce undue stress pressure on each participating country or countries. The competition can certainly tackle monopolistic or bullying tactics in a fair and square or ethical competitive manner at global or regional scale/echelons.

Can you imagine if another select list of countries who can compete with NATO organization to provide a competitive contract for example X% percentage of their collective or respective GDPs. That will reduce undue stress pressure on each participating country or countries. The competition can certainly tackle monopolistic or bullying tactics in a fair and square or ethical competitive manner at global or regional scale/echelons.

When humans can't figure out the difference between dictatorial, universal democratic and universal socialistic systems, conflicts of gigantic proportions are bound to go on.

The perfect example of language that is Most widely used universally or generally. It does / may have some shortcomings, e.g., not using all *Humanity* sounds/phonetics.

Unwise stubbornness/persistence is Averse Progressive thinking.